DOCKET FILE COPY OPIGNAL

RECEIVED

FEB 2 1 1997

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20554

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

	Office of content)
In the Matter of	
Review of the Commission's Regulations Governing Attribution of Broadcast and Cable/MDS Interests	MM Docket No. 94-150
Review of the Commission's Regulations and Policies Affecting Investment in the Broadcast Industry) MM Docket No. 92-51)
Reexamination of the Commission's Cross-Interest Policy) MM Docket No. 87-154)
Review of the Commission's Regulations Governing Television Broadcasting) MM Docket No. 91-221
Television Satellite Stations Review of Policy and Rules) MM Docket No. 87-7
Broadcast Television National Ownership Rules) MM Docket No. 96-222)
Review of the Commission's Regulations Governing Television Broadcasting) MM Docket No. 91-221)
Television Satellite Stations Review of Policy and Rules) MM Docket No. 87-8)

To: The Commission

EMERGENCY REQUEST FOR FUTHER EXTENSION OF TIME TO SUBMIT REPLY COMMENTS

Media Access Project, Black Citizens for a Fair Media, the Center for Media Education, the Minority Media and Telecommunications Council, National Association for Better Broadcasting, the Office of Communication of the United Church of Christ, Philadelphia Lesbian and Gay Task Force, Telecommunications Research and Action Center, Washington Area Citizens Coalition Interested in Viewers' Constitutional Rights, and Women's Institute for Freedom of the Press ("MAP, et al.") respectfully request that the Commission extend the deadline for submission of reply comments in to the above-captioned matters for not less than ten days, the

No. of Copies rec'd 0+9 List ABCDE due date to be determined at such time as the Comission's Record Imaging Processing System (RIPS) is restored to full operational status, such that the extension is equal to the period of time that the RIPS system was inoperative.

This emergency request is based on the unexpected breakdown of the RIPS on or about February 11, 1997. The RIPS system is the primary mechanism for determining what comments have been filed, as well as reviewing such comments. This disruption has interfered with preparation of reply comments, and significantly exacerbated the hardships which which MAP, et al. had cited in their January 16, 1997 request for extention of time within which to file comments and reply comments in this proceeding. As of February 21, 1997, the system had been inoperative for ten days.

The Commission has previously determined that MAP, et al. had established that an extension for filing reply comments is in the public interest. The relief sought here would do no more than provide the same period of time that would have been available had not the RIPS system been unavailable.

Comments filed by MAP, et al. in these multiple dockets were among the few comments filed on behalf of viewers and listeners, whose rights to have access to diverse sources of information are "paramount." Red Lion Broadcasting Co. v. FCC, 395 U.S. 367, 390 (1969). MAP, et al. are burdened with responding to scores of comments in numerous inter-related dockets in what are regarded as among the most important proceedings the Commission has undertaken in many years. Many of the same groups, represented by the same counsel, have been importuned by the Commission staff to participate in several other major "fast track" or expedited proceedings, including the Commission's dockets on the ESP exemption, DBS program obligations, and digital TV licensing, and the broadcast personal attack and political editorial

3

rules. Counsel for MAP, et al. have also participated in litigation challenging the Commission's

recent detarriffing decision in which a judicial stay has been granted, and expedited briefing has

been ordered.1

Even had there not been a breakdown in the RIPS, these and other pending matters had

placed insuperable burdens on the handful of counsel representing the general public in these

matters. The RIPS failure has made the task far more difficult. The burdens fall also upon

industry counsel; although these organizations have deeper pockets and greater resources, their

ability to provide a complete record is similarly impaired. As a result, absent an extension, the

Commission will not have a full and complete record upon which to base its deliberations, and

its ability to ascertain the public interest will be damaged. The viewers of the nation would be

victimized thereby.

For the foregoing reasons, MAP, et al. respectfully request that the Commission grant

an the requested extension, and grant all such other relief as may be just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

Andrew Jay Schwartzman

MEDIA ACCESS PROJECT

1707 L Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20036

(202) 232-4300

February 21, 1997

¹Telecommunciations Research and Action Center "TRAC"), one of the parties here, has intervened in the detarriffing matter. As an opponent of the Commission's action, TRAC (and its counsel) had no control over the timing of the Commission's decision or the need to challenge it on an expedited basis. The fact that the Court of Appeals has stayed the Commission's decision demonstrates the importance of the matter, and the non-frivolous nature of the decision to seek review of it.